ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Audiological profile in osteoporosis

Bhavya M1, Shashi Kumar Y2, Deena Priya BJ3, Rakesh CV4, Wasim Ahmed5

- ¹JSS Institute of Speech and Hearing, Mysore, India
- ²Department of Orthopaedics, JSS Hospital, Mysore, India
- ³Dr SR Chandrashekar Institute of Speech and Hearing, Lingarajpuram, Bangalore, India
- ⁴All India Institute of Speech and Hearing, Mysore, India
- ⁵JSS Institute of Speech and Hearing, Dharwad, India



Correspondence to

Bhavya M Clinical Supervisor JSS Institute of Speech and Hearing, Ooty road, Mysore Karnataka 570025, India Email bhavya.j8@gmail.com

Competing interest

None declared

Received: November 30, 2015 Accepted: July 5, 2016

@2016 Bhavya M. Open access article distributed under the terms of CC BY-NC-ND







The Rare Diseases and Orphan Drugs Journal has received funding from the European Union Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007–2013) under Grant Agreement n. 305690 RARE-Bestpractices project www.rarebestpractices.eu.

Sole responsibility lies with the authors and the European Commission is not responsible for any use that may be made of the information contained therein.

Abstract

Osteoporosis is a multifactorial disease characterized by decrease in bone mineral density and disruption of bony architecture. This reduction in bone mineral density is measured using T-score. T-score of ≥ 1 is normal, -1 to -2.5 is in the osteopenic range and ≤ 2.5 is in the osteoporotic range. Various researchers have found an association between osteoporosis and hearing loss. Yeh et al (2015) found a significant relationship between sudden sensorineural hearing loss and osteoporosis. However, there is lack of studies finding the relationship between osteoporosis and hearing loss in Indian population. The present study was undertaken to find the relation between hearing loss and osteoporosis in postmenopausal Indian women. Thirty-three postmenopausal Indian women in the age range of 38-55 were subjected to bone mineral density (BMD) study and T-scores were obtained. Based on the T-scores, participants were divided into three groups. Group I consisted of 12 normal women, group II consisted of 11 osteopenic women and group III consisted of 10 osteoporotic women. Pure tone audiometry, tympanometry and distortion product otoacoustic emissions (DPOAEs) were carried out. Data obtained were subjected to statistical analysis and was found that the mean threshold at all frequencies from 250 Hz to 8 kHz were better for normal, poorer for both osteopenic and osteoporotic group. At all frequencies the effect of reduced BMD on hearing loss was significant. Results indicated that thresholds at all frequencies and pure tone average thresholds were significantly different between the three groups with p <0.05. 'A' type of tympanogram was present in all the groups. DPOAEs signal to noise ratio were significantly different at 3 kHz and 4 kHz between normal, osteopenic and osteoporotic group with p <0.05. However, there was no significant difference between the groups at 1 kHz and 2 kHz. Both osteopenic and osteoporotic group showed a greater number of individuals with sensorineural hearing loss. The present study indicates a stronger relation between osteoporosis and hearing loss. Effect of osteoporosis on auditory system using this test battery indicated bilateral sensorineural hearing loss.

Key words

Osteoporosis, osteopenia, bone mineral density, hearing loss, signal to noise ratio.

Introduction

Osteoporosis is defined as a progressive systemic skeletal disorder characterized by low bone mineral density (BMD), deterioration of the microarchitecture of bone tissue, and susceptibility to fracture [1]. Our bones are comprised of two major ingredients: minerals (including calcium and phosphorous), and bone cells (consisting of osteoblasts and osteoclasts). Normally bone formation (osteoblastic activity) and bone resorption (osteoclastic activity) are in balance and depend on many factors (age, endocrine function, nutrition and genetics).

There are two major types of bone: cancellous bone (also known as trabecular bone), which is the inner, softer portion of the bone, and cortical bone, which is the outer, harder layer of bone. Cancellous bone undergoes turnover at a faster rate than cortical bone. As a result, if osteoclast and osteoblast activity become mismatched, cancellous bone is affected more rapidly than cortical bone. In osteoporosis the amount of cortical and cancellous bone is decreased, haversian canals are widened, and the rate of bone resorption exceeds the rate of bone formation.

Osteoporosis may be classified as primary osteoporosis and secondary osteoporosis. Primary osteoporosis is a metabolic bone disease characterized by low bone mass and microarchitectural deterioration of bone tissue, leading to enhanced bone fragility and increased fracture risk. It represents bone mass loss that is unassociated with any other illness and is related to aging in women and men. Primary osteoporosis has been most frequently recognized in elderly women. It is further classified into post-menopausal osteoporosis and senile osteoporosis.

Post-menopausal osteoporosis (type I primary osteoporosis) affects postmenopausal women but younger than 70 years. Type I osteoporosis is characterized by increased bone resorption due to osteoclastic activity, which is due to oestrogen deficiency. Vertebral crush fractures and fractures of the distal radius (colles fractures) are the main complications. Senile osteoporosis (type II primary osteoporosis) occurs when there is excessive bone loss manifested after age 70 in both women and men. Type II osteoporosis results from normal aging and is associated with a steady, 1-2% loss of cortical and cancellous bone mass each year. Hip and vertebral fractures are most common in this type of osteoporosis.

Secondary osteoporosis can result from a variety of chronic conditions that significantly contribute to bone mineral loss, or it can result from the effects of treatment regimens that compromise normal bone metabolism, like corticosteroids or chemotherapeutic agents, and nutritional deficiencies. It may arise at any age and affect men and women equal. Most commonly observed osteoporosis is the postmenopausal one which affects the bone metabolism.

Following menopause there is loss of bone mass by about 3-5% per year, and there is decline in collagenous bone matrix resulting in osteoporotic changes, which is primarily due to deficiency of oestrogen. For women, in addition to age, the menopause transition itself causes an extra degree of bone loss. This bone loss is greatest in the first three to six years after menopause. Women can lose up to 20% of the total bone mass during this time. Since women generally have a lower bone mass to begin with in comparison with men, the ultimate result is a higher risk of fracture in postmenopausal women as compared to men of the same age.

Generally, osteoporosis is asymptomatic until a fracture occurs. Tests that measure the bone strength and diagnoses at an early stage are of importance. Bone mineral density (BMD) study has been reported to correlate for more than three quartiles of total bone strength. The reduction in BMD is measured using T-score. WHO criteria defines T-score of more than -1 as normal, -1 to -2.5 as osteopenic and less than -2.5 as osteoporotic. Osteopenia is a milder form of bone loss and it is thought to be a precursor of osteoporosis.

Osteoporosis and osteopenia are systemic illnesses and all bones are affected. Most often hip and spine bones are affected, but ear bones can be damaged too. Demineralization of the temporal bone including otic capsule and internal auditory canal may be one biological factor contributing to hearing loss. Hearing loss may be an under recognized complication of osteoporosis [2-4]. Shafer (2006) studied the relationship between bone loss and dizziness in post-menopausal women, and hypothesized that that bone loss is associated with benign paroxysmal positional vertigo (BPPV) [5]. A research study done by McKenna et al (2004) explored the clinical relationship between osteoporosis and otosclerosis citing a common gene COL1A1 associated with both conditions [6]. Henkin et al (1972) indicated increased sclerosis of cochlear and vestibular labyrinths in four of seven patients with idiopathic osteoporosis [7]. Horner (2009) suggested a possible relationship between recurrent BPPV and a decreased fixation of calcium in bone in postmenopausal women [8]. Yeh et al (2015) found a significant relationship between sudden sensorineural hearing loss and osteoporosis [9]. On the contrary, Babich et al (2009) reported conductive hearing loss in osteoporosis [10]. These findings suggest that there may be a pathology in the middle and/or inner ear of the patients with osteoporosis. In our clinical practice we observed that sensorineural hearing loss was more frequent than conductive hearing loss in patients with osteoporosis. There was lack of studies finding the relationship between osteoporosis and hearing loss in Indian population. Hence the present study was undertaken to find the relation between hearing loss and osteoporosis in postmenopausal Indian women.

The aim of the study was to profile the bilateral audiological findings including pure tone average, type of tympanogram, otoacoustic emissions in osteoporotic and osteopenic patients and to see if it varies with that of age matched controls.

Materials and methods

Thirty-three post-menopausal Indian women in the age range of 38-55 were included in the study. Women were eligible to participate if they did not have a prior history of ear surgery, otosclerosis and excessive exposure to noise.

Instrumentation for the present study included 4 instruments. Omnisense instrument was used for assessment of BMD. This instrument consists of a desktop system and small handheld probes of differing sizes to measure the different sites. The probe includes both the transmitter and the receiver. A two channel clinical audiometer consisting of supra-aural headphones with ear cushions was used for obtaining pure tone audiogram. The audiometer was calibrated to conform to ANSI standards. Calibrated middle ear analyzer GSI-Tympstar was used for doing tympanometry. Calibrated Otoread was used for measurement of distortion product otoacoustic emissions (DPOAEs). All the audiological testing was carried in a sound treated room and noise levels were within the permissible limits as per ANSI (1991) [11].

All the participants underwent a BMD assessment by quantitative ultrasound method. BMD is simple non-in-

vasive procedure and was performed by an orthopedician. Bone mineral density test measures the absolute amount of bone which generally correlates with bone strength and its ability to bear weight. BMD was measured in the participants using Omnisense (Sunlight) instrument and the T-scores were obtained in the distal radius bone by measuring the speed of the sound along a fixed distance of bone parallel to its axis. Thrice the measurements were done and a graph was displayed with the T-score. Based on the T-scores, participants were divided into three groups. Group I consisted of 12 normal women with T-score of ≥1. Group II consisted of 11 osteopenic women with T-score between -1to -2.5. Group III consisted of 10 osteoporotic women with T-score ≤2.5.

Case history was taken for the participants and questions were asked regarding subjective hearing loss, dizziness, tinnitus, and prior ear trauma or surgery. The following categories of information were obtained: demographics (age, phone number), medical history [age at menopause, medical disorders (thyroid disease, diabetes)], and medication used (chemotherapy, diuretics, oestrogen for hormone replacement therapy (HRT)], nutritional status (use of calcium supplementation).

Initially pure tone audiometry was done. Air conduction thresholds were determined across octave frequencies from 250 Hz to 8000 Hz and bone conduction thresholds were obtained from 250 Hz to 4000 Hz for both the ears. The thresholds were obtained using modified version of Hughson and Westlake procedure [12]. Tympanometry was obtained for 226 Hz probe tone to evaluate the middle ear status for all the subjects. An otoacoustic emission test was done. DPOAE SNR were measured using 2 primary tones with frequencies of f1 and f2. The ratio of frequencies of the 2 primaries (f1/f2) was constant at 1.2. The f2 frequency varied from 1.5kHz to 4kHz and the intensity levels of primaries was maintained at 65dBSPL and 55dBSPL respectively for f1 and f2 [13] to produce optimum results.

The data collected from participants was tabulated. A commercially available statistical package for social sciences (SPSS-version 15.0) was used for statistical analysis.

Results and discussion

Data obtained were analyzed using generalized linear model statistical analysis to compare the thresholds across frequencies, pure tone average (PTA) and SNRs of otoacoustic emissions across frequencies between normal hearing individuals, osteopenic and osteoporotic individuals and to evaluate ear difference.

Pure tone audiometry

Results of mean threshold and standard deviation (SD) of frequencies and PTA obtained for normal, osteopenic and osteoporotic for right and left ear are shown in Table 1.

Table 1 shows that mean thresholds at all frequencies from 250 Hz to 8 kHz were better for normal women with a mean pure tone average threshold of 16 dBHL for right ear, 16 dBHL for left ear. Both osteopenic and osteoporotic group had poorer threshold compared to normal. Osteopenic group had poorer mean thresholds at all frequencies with a mean pure tone average threshold of 26 dBHL for right ear and 24 dBHL for left ear. The osteoporotic group also had poorer mean threshold at all frequencies with a mean pure tone average threshold of 22 dBHL for right ear and 20 dBHL for left ear. It is evident from Figure 1 that normal groups had a better threshold at all frequencies and PTA compared to osteopenic and osteoporotic group. To assess the difference in the thresholds of all the frequencies and PTA across three different groups and across two ears, two way ANOVA was done. At all frequencies the effect of reduced bone mineral density on hearing loss was significant with p < 0.05. Results of two way ANOVA are shown in Table 2. Results indicated that thresholds at all frequencies and pure tone average thresholds were significantly different between the three groups with p <0.05. However, the effect of ear and the interaction effect of ear and groups were not significant at all the frequencies and PTA with p >0.05. To evaluate significant differences in the three different groups, Scheffe's post hoc comparison was used. Results of Scheffe's post

Table 1. Mean and standard deviation of frequencies and pure tone average of normal, osteopenic and osteoporotic for right and left ear in dBHL

		Normal group				Osteopenic group				Osteoporotic group			
	Right	ear	Left e	ar	Right	ear	Left e	ar	Right	ear	Left e	ear	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
250 Hz	16	7	15	6	27	9	24	12	16	7	15	6	
500 Hz	17	8	19	7	27	9	26	9	23	8	24	15	
1 kHz	15	5	15	5	25	9	24	7	23	8	21	8	
2 kHz	14	3	15	5	27	14	21	9	24	9	21	11	
4 kHz	15	5	15	9	34	14	27	12	28	12	28	11	
8 kHz	19	13	17	8	39	21	40	24	36	13	28	13	
PTA	16	4	16	5	26	9	24	8	22	8	20	8	

PTA, pure tone average; SD, standard deviation.




Figure 1. The mean threshold across all frequencies and pure tone average for right and left ear for normal, osteopenic and osteoporotic individuals.

Table 2. F value and significance values: between groups, across ears and for interaction of group and ear

	Frequencies	F value	Significance (p)
	250 Hz	5.88	.005
	500 Hz	5.19	.008
	1000 Hz	10.0	.000
Between groups (normal, osteopenic and osteoporotic)	2000 Hz	6.4	.003
	4000 Hz	11.5	.000
	8000 Hz	9.8	.000
	PTA	8.176	.001
	250 Hz	1.10	.297
	500 Hz	.001	0.97
	1000 Hz	0.37	0.54
Across ear (right and left)	2000 Hz	1.000	0.32
	4000 Hz	.901	.346
	8000 Hz	.688	.410
	PTA	.859	.358
_	250 Hz	.145	.865
	500 Hz	0.081	0.9
	1000 Hz	0.06	0.93
Interaction of group and ear	2000 Hz	0.702	0.50
	4000 Hz	.612	.545
	8000 Hz	.407	.667
	PTA	.429	.653

hoc comparison showed that the thresholds at all frequencies and PTA were significantly poorer in osteoporotic and osteopenic group compared to normal group. However, there was no significant difference between osteopenic and osteoporotic group. Table 3 shows the result of the Scheffe's test.

Immittance

Cross tabulation was done. Results can be summarized in terms of type of tympanogram obtained in normal, osteopenic and osteoporotic individuals as shown in Table 4. Table 4 shows that among the types of tympanogram obtained in the participants, A type was present in 23 ears

Table 3. Results of the Scheffe's test for thresholds at all frequencies and PTA across the three groups

Frequencies	Groups	Osteopenic	Osteoporotic
250 Hz	Normal Osteopenic	Significant*	Significant* Not significant
500 Hz	Normal Osteopenic	Significant*	Significant* Not significant
1000 Hz	Normal Osteopenic	Significant*	Significant* Not significant
2000 Hz	Normal Osteopenic	Significant*	Significant* Not significant
4000 Hz	Normal Osteopenic	Significant*	Significant* Not significant
8000 Hz	Normal Osteopenic	Significant*	Significant* Not significant
PTA	Normal Osteopenic	Significant*	Significant* Not significant

^{*}p<0.05.

Table 4. Type of tympanogram: count and percentage obtained in each group

Type	Value		Group				
		Normal	Osteopenic	Osteoporotic			
A	Count	23	21	18	62		
	%	95.8	95.5	90.0	93.9		
A_s	Count %	0 0	0	1 5	1 1.5		
A_d	Count	1	1	1	3		
	%	4.2	4.5	5	4.5		
Total	Count	24	22	20	66		
	%	100	100	100	100		

Table 5. Mean and standard deviation of DPOAEs SNR in dB across the three groups and across right and left ear

		Normal	group			Osteope	nic group			Osteoporo	tic group	
	Right	ear	Left 6	ear	Right	ear	Left e	ar	Right	ear	Left (ear
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
1.5 kHz	11	7	15	6	10	6	12	8	12	6	14	6.9
2 kHz	17.6	6	18	6	11.8	9.5	13.6	9.7	15	7.8	15	7
3 kHz	18.3	5.5	18.2	5.0	13.0	8.2	13.2	7.6	13.6	7.9	14.1	7.7
4 kHz	12.6	5.3	15.6	4.9	6.9	6.2	11.4	8.0	10.1	4.7	9.7	7.6

SD. standard deviation.

in normal, 21 ears in osteopenic and 18 ears in osteoporotic group. A_d type was present in 1 ear in each of the groups. A_s was present in 1 ear in osteoporotic group.

Otoacoustic emission

DPOAEs SNR obtained in three different groups across two ears were compared. Table 5 shows mean and stan-

dard deviation. It is evident from Table 5 that mean DPOAEs SNR were similar across the three groups in both right and left ear for 1.5 kHz and 2 kHz, better in normal compared to osteopenic and osteoporotic group at 3 kHz and 4 kHz. Figure 2 shows that DPOAEs SNR are better in normal compared to osteopenic and osteoporotic group at high frequencies. To assess the difference

in DPOAEs SNR across the three different groups for two ears, two way ANOVA was done. Results of tests indicated that DPOAEs SNR were significantly different at 3 kHz and 4 kHz between normal, osteopenic and osteoporotic group with p <0.05. However, there was no significant difference between the groups at 1 kHz and 2 kHz with p >0.05. The effect of ear alone and the interaction effect of ear and group were not significant with p >0.05. Results of two way ANOVA are shown in Table 6.

To evaluate the significant difference between the three groups Duncan's post hoc comparison was administered. Results of Duncan's post hoc test showed that DPOAEs SNR for osteopenic and osteoporotic group were poorer compared to normal group. However, there was no significant difference between osteopenic and osteoporotic group. Results of post hoc test are shown in Table 7. Type of hearing loss is shown in Table 8 with cross tabulation. Table 8 shows that among the type of hearing loss which was obtained, 17 ears had normal hearing and 7 ears had minimal hearing loss in normal group, whereas 7 ears had minimal hearing loss, 14 ears had sensorineural hearing loss and 1 ear had normal hearing in osteopenic group. In the osteoporotic group, 5 ears had minimal hearing loss, 12 ears had sensorineural hearing loss and 3 had normal hearing.


Discussion

The results demonstrate an inverse relation between BMD and hearing loss. Both osteopenic and osteoporotic women had poorer thresholds than normal women. Findings from the present study show the presence of sensorineural hearing loss in patients with osteopenia and osteoporosis. The mechanisms showing the relationship between sensorineural hearing loss and osteoporosis is

Table 6. F value and significance values of DPOAEs between groups, across ears and for interaction of group and ear

	Frequencies	F value	Significance
Between groups	1500 Hz	0.565	0.572
(normal, osteopenic and osteoporotic)	2000 Hz	2.841	0.067
and osteoporotic,	3000 Hz	3.57	0.03
	4000 Hz	4.26	0.01
Across ear	1500 Hz	2.201	0.143
(right and left)	2000 Hz	0.005	0.944
	3000 Hz	0.013	0.90
	4000 Hz	2.37	0.12
Interaction of group	1500 Hz	0.052	0.949
and ear	2000 Hz	0.516	0.599
	3000 Hz	0.009	0.991
	4000 Hz	0.848	0.434

quite complex. Studies theorized that inflammation and bone demineralization may contribute to the association between weakening bones and sudden sensorineural hearing loss [9]. On the other hand, according to some researches, it has been shown that there is a correlation between BMD loss in osteoporotic patients and conductive hearing loss; in those patients over a specific age, changing the structure of the ossicles or hormonal mechanism in hearing may correlate BMD loss with hearing loss [5,10]. Demineralization of cochlear capsule was found to be correlated with hearing loss in patients with metabolic bone disorders such as Paget's disease and osteogenesis imperfecta [14]. Similar mechanisms might underlie the relationship between sensorineural hearing loss and






Figure 2. Mean DPOAEs SNR across frequencies between normal, osteopenic and osteoporotic groups in right and left ear.

Table 7. Results of the Scheffe's test for DPOAEs SNR at different frequencies across the three groups

Frequencies	Groups	Osteopenic	Osteoporotic
1500 Hz	Normal Osteopenic	Significant*	Significant* Not significant
2000 Hz	Normal Osteopenic	Significant*	Significant* Not significant
3000 Hz	Normal Osteopenic	Significant*	Significant* Not significant
4000 Hz	Normal Osteopenic	Significant*	Significant* Not significant

^{*}p < 0.05.

Table 8. Type of hearing loss: count and percentage in the three different groups

Туре	Value		Group				
		Normal	Osteopenic	Osteoporotic			
Normal hearing	Count	17	1	3	21		
, and the second	%	70.8	4.5	15.0	31.8		
Minimal HL	Count	7	7	5	19		
	%	29.1	31.8	25.0	28.7		
Sensorineural HL	Count	0	14	12	26		
	%	0	63.6	60.0	39.3		
Conductive HL	Count	0	0	0	0		
	%	0	0	0	0		
Total	Count	100	100	100	100		
	%	24	22	20	66		

HL, hearing loss.

osteoporosis. There are some possible explanations for our findings. Postmenopausal women with reduced bone mineral density are likely to have systemic evidence of illness affecting many bones including those of inner ear. The bone of the cochlear capsule is lamellar bone with few haversian canals and vascular elements, and thus consists of maximally compact bone tissue. Earlier radiological studies done by Henkin et al (1972) showed increased sclerosis of otic capsule in few patients with osteoporosis [7]. Our study hypothesizes that involvement of the otic bone which supports and protects the delicate cochlear and vestibular neuroepithelial structures, could lead to secondary degenerative changes in spiral ligament, stria vascularis [3] and cochlear hair cells either by local ischemia or by toxic effect caused by the release of enzymes which could lead to sensorineural hearing loss.

The results of the present study are in accordance with the study done by Henkin et al (1972), who diagnosed bilateral sensorineural deafness, significantly greater than their age related mean level, in five or seven patients with confirmed osteoporosis who presented with severe bone pain [7]. Osteoporosis may be caused by multiple mechanisms such as cardiovascular risk factors, bone demineralization, inflammation and endothelial dysfunction [9]. Although the exact cause is not known we hypothesize

that hearing loss could be due to deficiency in calcium. It could be postulated that reduction in the ionized calcium may affect the cellular function in the inner ear which includes the active mechanism and also the transmission of nerve action potentials generated in cochlea by inhibiting the release of transmitter at the synapses. This gives a possible explanation for the presence of sensorineural hearing loss in osteoporosis. Further experimental researches and clinical trials are needed to validate our hypothesis.

The results of the present study showed normal middle ear status with A type tympanogram in almost all of the ears in both osteoporotic group and osteopenic group. Similar results were mentioned in the study done by Ozkiris et al (2013), who reported that there is no significant difference between normal, osteopenic and osteoporotic group in tympanometric values [15]. We speculate that this could be due to difference in the mineral content of the bone in the ossicles compared to the general skeleton. Calcium and phosphorous content of the woven bone of the ossicles are significantly greater than that of the ordinary haversian bone of the mastoid cortex and other regions, indicating that there are fundamental metabolic differences in the bone of ossicles compared to general skeleton bones [16]. This metabolic difference between bone of the ossicles and general skeleton could have conferred some degree of protection to the middle ear ossicles. Hence the middle ear ossicles could be relatively infrequently involved in the osteoporosis.

In our study reduced DPOAE SNR was seen predominantly at high frequencies. In support of the results of the present study, a recent study done by Kahveci et al (2014) reported that DPOAE results of patients with osteoporosis at 6 kHz were significantly lower than those of normal and osteopenic patients [17]. Although the exact mechanism is not known, we theorize that this could be due to susceptibility of basal region of cochlea for more and early damage in general, so the same trend that is damage to the basal region in the early stages of osteoporosis could be followed. However additional studies have to be done to further explore other possibilities.

Conclusion

The present study indicates a stronger relation between osteoporosis and hearing loss. The effect of osteoporosis on auditory system using test battery indicates bilateral sensorineural hearing loss. The results in this research, through evidence of association between osteoporosis and hearing loss, can allow for integrated work of an orthopeadician, audiologist, otorhinolaryngologist who are concerned with the alterations caused by osteoporosis. Cochleo-vestibular symptoms are probably often overshadowed by other more generalized features of osteoporosis. Hence, it is important to disclose the need for preventive processes to minimize the demineralization mechanism of the auditory system caused by osteoporosis, as well as prioritize the early diagnosis of hearing loss in people with osteoporosis. A further study with a large group of population is suggested. Future studies could be done to find the effect of reduced bone mineral density on cochlear potentials.

References

- Assessment of fracture risk and its application to screening for postmenopausal osteoporosis. Report of a WHO Study Group. World Health Organ Tech Rep Ser 1994;843:1-129.
- Kim SH, Kang BM, Chae HD, Kim CH. The association between serum estradiol level and hearing sensitivity in postmenopausal women. Obstet Gynecol 2002;99(5 Pt 1):726-30.
- 3. Lee JH, Marcus DC. Estrogen acutely inhibits ion transport by isolated stria vascularis. Hear Res 2001;158(1-2):123-30.

- Stenberg AE, Wang H, Fish J 3rd, Schrott-Fischer A, Sahlin L, Hultcrantz M. Estrogen receptors in the normal adult and developing human inner ear and in Turner's syndrome. Hear Res 2001;157(1-2):87-92.
- 5. Shafer DN. Researchers investigate link between hearing loss and osteoporosis. The ASHA Leader 2006; 11:5. doi:10.1044/leader.RIB.11102006.5. http://leader.pubs.asha.org/article.aspx?articleid=2278213.
- McKenna MJ, Nguyen-Huynh AT, Kristiansen AG. Association of otosclerosis with Sp1 binding site polymorphism in COL1A1 gene: evidence for a shared genetic etiology with osteoporosis. Otol Neurotol 2004;25(4):447-50.
- Henkin RI, Lifschitz MD, Larson AL. Hearing loss in patients with osteoporosis and Paget's disease of bone. Am J Med Sci 1972;263(5):383-92.
- 8. Horner KC. The effect of sex hormones on bone metabolism of the otic capsule: an overview. Hear Res 2009;252(1-2):56-60.
- 9. Yeh MC, Weng SF, Shen YC, Chou CW, Yang CY, Wang JJ, Tien KJ. Increased risk of sudden sensorineural hearing loss in patients with osteoporosis: a population-based, propensity scorematched, longitudinal follow-up study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2015;100(6):2413-9.
- Babich M, Hoffmeister D, Doughty A. Osteoporosis and conductive hearing loss: a novel model of clinical correlation, 2009. Article number 148. http://www.philica.com/display_ article.php?article_id=148.
- Maximum ambient noise levels for audiometric test rooms (ANSI S3.1-1991). New York: American National Standards Institute, 1991.
- 12. Carhart R, Jerger J. Preferred method for clinical determination of pure-tone thresholds. J Speech Hear Res 1959;24: 330-45. doi:10.1044/jshd.2404.330.
- Stover L, Gorga MP, Neely ST, Montoya D. Toward optimizing the clinical utility of distortion product otoacoustic emission measurements. J Acoust Soc Am 1996100(2 Pt 1):956-67.
- 14. Swinnen FK, De Leenheer EM, Goemaere S, Cremers CW, Coucke PJ, Dhooge JJ. Association between bone mineral density and hearing loss in osteogenesis imperfecta. Laryngoscope 2012;122(2):401-8.
- 15. Ozkiriş M, Karaçavuş S, Kapusuz Z, Balbaloğlu O, Saydam L. Does bone mineral density have an effect on hearing loss in postmenopausal patients? Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol 2013;122(10):648-52.
- 16. Maurer P, Hohenester E, Engel J. Extracellular calcium-binding proteins. Curr Opin Cell Biol 1996;8(5):609-17.
- 17. Kahveci OK, Demirdal US, Yücedag F, Cerci U. Patients with osteoporosis have higher incidence of sensorineural hearing loss. Clin Otolaryngol 2014;39(3):145-9.